



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Wood, Phillips, Katz, Clark & Mortimer  
500 West Madison St., Ste. 3800  
Chicago, Illinois 60661-2511

Applicant: Joseph M. Koenig  
Appl. No.: 10/692,703  
Filing Date: October 24, 2003  
Title: SANDING BLOCK  
Attorney Docket No.: TR1456P0161US  
Pub. No.: US 2004/0092219 A1  
Pub. Date: May 13, 2004

**PROCESSED BY  
PG PUB DIVISION**

**JAN 28 2005**

This is a decision on the request for corrected of patent application publication under 37 CFR 1.221(b), filed on June 15, 2004 for the above-identified application.

The request is DISMISSED.

Applicant request that the application be republished because the patent application publication contains a material error, as application published with the wrong drawings, as it include figures of a sanding block from a prior art reference.

37 CFR 1.221 (b) is applicable "only when the Office makes a material mistake which is apparent from Office records." A material mistake must affect the public's ability to appreciate the technical disclosure of the patent application publication, to determine the scope of the patent application publication, or to determine the scope of the provisional rights that an applicant may seek to enforce upon issuance of a patent.<sup>1</sup>

The error is not a material mistake because the application is a Continuation of U.S. Application 10/158,608 filed May 30, 2002, now U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0224708. Since, the parent application is published and contains the information printed correctly, the errors do not affect the public's ability to appreciate the technical disclosure of the patent application publication, to determine the scope of the patent application publication or to determine the scope of the provisional rights that an applicant may seek to enforce upon issuance of a patent. The error also does not affect the use of the patent application publication as a prior art reference, because the continuity data is correctly published and the earlier publication has the best date as a reference. Furthermore, this is not a material error since the Image File Wrapper (IFW) for this application is available to the public, as of July 31, 2004.

---

<sup>1</sup>Changes to Implement Eighteen-Month Publication of Patent Applications, 65 FR 57023, 57038 (Sept. 20, 2000), 1239, Off. Gaz. Pat. Office Notices 63, 75 (Oct 10, 2000) (final rule).

The applicant is advised that a "request for republication of an application previously published" may be filed under 37 CFR 1.221 (a). Such a request for republication "must include a copy of the application compliance with the Office's electronic filing system requirements and be accompanied by the publication fee set forth in § 1.18 (d) and the processing fee set forth in § 1.17 (i)." If the request for republication does not comply with the electronic filing system requirements, the republication will not take place and the publication fee set forth in § 1.18 (d) will be refunded. The processing fee will be retained.

Any request for republication under 37 CFR 1.221(a), must be submitted via the EFS system and questions or request for reconsideration of the decision, should be addressed as follows:

By mail to: Mail Stop PGPUB  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

By facsimile: 703-305-8568

Inquiries relating to this matter may be directed to Mark Polutta at (571) 272-7709 (voice)



Mark Polutta  
Legal Advisor  
Office of Patent Legal Administration  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
For Patent Examination Policy